CWP No. 95 of 2005.

31.12.2007 Present: Mr. Dalip Sharma, Advocate vice Mr. Arvind Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.D. Shreedhar, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 and 3.

Mr. Bhupender Gupta, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Charu Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No. 4.

After arguing for a while, Mr. Dalip Sharma, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner seeks leave of this Court to withdraw this Petition but also with liberty reserved to the petitioner to make a suitable representation to respondent No.2 requesting him to re-transfer the petitioner from Parvati-I Hydro Electric Project to a suitable place in Chamba District. Prayer allowed. The petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

If the petitioner makes the above referred representation addressed to respondent No.2 within three weeks from today, we direct respondent No.2 to consider the same and dispose it of within three months from the date of its receipt. We do wish to observe and direct that while considering and disposing of the aforesaid representation of the petitioner, respondent No.2 shall take a sympathetic view. It goes without saying that petitioner's re-transfer to Chamba shall be considered and ordered only depending upon the availability of a suitable vacancy there.

(V.K. Gupta), C.J.

December 31, 2007. **(Sanjay Karol), J.**

(rks)